

info@roguepartners.org 541-414-9064 roguebasinpartnership.org

## BOARD MEETING NOTES Thursday, April 13th, 2-4pm

Thursday, April 13th, 2-4pm Oregon Community Foundation 818 W 8th St, Medford, OR 97504

Recorded by T. Lombardi

Present:	Recorded by T. Lombard
Kelly Coates, RBP Chair	Robert Coffan, RBP Vice-Chair
Greg Stabach, RBP Secretary	Chrysten Lambert, RBP Board
Jake Crawford, RBP Board	Gail Grogan-Perrotti, RBP Board
Sam Whitrdige, RBP Managing Director	Thera Lombardi, RBP Staff
Stacey Detwiler, Rogue Riverkeeper	Stephanie Danyi, City of Ashland
Maia Black, Selberg Institute	
Jack Shipley, Applegate Partnership	Denise Hoffert*, RBP Barrier Program
& Watershed Council	coordinator
* attendance by phone	
Absent: Denis Reich, RBP Treasurer; Eugene We	ir, RBP Board; Paige Prewett, RBP Board
<ul> <li>April 22<sup>nd</sup>, <u>Bear Creek Clean-up, RVCOG</u></li> <li>April 22<sup>nd</sup>, <u>Rogue Valley Earth Day</u></li> <li>May 9<sup>th</sup>, <u>SOMA event</u></li> <li><u>Oregon Prescribed Fire Council: White City</u></li> <li>Tour of Table Rocks</li> <li>Jack Shipley – <u>RNPMC</u> – Green leaf indus</li> <li>Robert gives a run-down of the history</li> </ul>	
Consent agenda approval March meeting minutes • Grand Ronde tribe spelled incorrectly March standing committee & staff report Motion: To approve consent agenda with edit Moved: Chrysten Lambert, Seconded: Greg S	
-	r – All or nothing? Does it include selling merchandise? , EAC is working on the merchandise topic this month
Check signing discussion - need Kelly Cost	es as a signer
<ul> <li>Check signing discussion – need Kelly Coates as a signer</li> <li>Maybe want to move the location of the account</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Maybe want to move the location of the a</li> <li>Might want to consider a different bank</li> </ul>	
RBP RFP review	
Robert: Question about RNPMC access to	

• OWEB funding is specific, Laird-Norton funding is flexible

- Discussion of group potential and how it fits into RBP
- Sam observes, RNPMC may not need immediate funding
- Discussion will not likely be resolved by May when next funding round for working groups occurs
- 1) Riparian Restoration \$8,000 request
  - Roles & responsibilities discussion needs beefing up
  - GIS needs to be integrated with greater RBP efforts

Recusal from vote based on working group involvement: Gail Grogan-Perrotti, Greg Stabach Motion: To approve IAC recommendation for full funding of \$8,000 in response to proposal. Moved: Jake Crawford, Seconded: Robert Coffan, unanimous approval by voice vote.

- 2) Agriculture Management \$8,000 request
  - DWPP match required TFT to get the group started
  - Challenging group to get started amongst members/partners;
  - Recognize TFT is not the ideal lead, but they hope to be able to pass the group on
  - Feedback: need more groups to participate
  - Discussion of working group:
    - Robert appreciates charts and letter that IAC put together, is grateful that TFT is stepping up and reiterates APWC has cannabis contacts
    - Jack explains OSGG has broad range and only reps 5% of growers in the area; APWC has vintner and cattleman's association contacts
    - April 26<sup>th</sup> Chrysten is presenting to OSGG
    - Kelly makes clear that she does not represent the Cow Creek Ranch

#### Motion: To approve IAC recommendation for full funding of \$8,000 in response to proposal. Moved: Chrysten Lambert, Seconded: Gail Grogan-Perrotti, unanimous approval by voice vote.

- 3) Conservation Policy \$8,000 request
  - Group has included additional partner Native Fish Society
  - Group will continue outreach to potential partners and document their efforts for sharing
  - Scenic waterways <u>recent news that Illinois Valley section no longer a candidate for potential</u> <u>designation</u> may affect the WG moving forward with this piece; contract will reflect any change in allocation of those funds (\$2,000) to other work identified by the group
  - RFI process improved communication and clarification of proposal objectives for more thorough review

# Recusal from vote based on working group involvement: Jake Crawford Other recusal from vote: Kelly Coates

#### Motion: To approve IAC recommendation for full funding of \$8,000 in response to proposal. Moved: Chrysten Lambert, Seconded: Robert Coffan, unanimous approval by voice vote.

- 4) Stormwater Management \$5,014 request
  - Convening through Stormwater Advisory Team (SWAT)
  - RFI process documented identified outreach need through collaborative means
  - Group will document what they learn from outreach events for sharing
- Recusal from vote based on working group involvement: Greg Stabach

### Motion: To approve IAC recommendation for full funding of \$8,000 in response to proposal.

Moved: Gail Grogan-Perrotti, Seconded: Jake Crawford, unanimous approval by voice vote.

• WW expanded attorney power towards work in the Rogue, did visits in March

Pomeroy is highest priority in the basin – Denise was working with landowner, USFWS, IVWC, etc. since 2015

- Needing Technical Assistance (TA) for alternative irrigation exploration and will officially enter a landowner agreement, so asking RBP to sponsor the OWEB grant
   Greg: Question of who will be implementing work?
- Contracting provided by RBP and will receive administrative funds

- Denise would be working with the contractor with her RBP funds
- Irrigation efficiency engineer will implement: Dan Scalas with TU
- Kelly: Question of who is providing match funds?
- American Rivers and USFWS has match funding
- Robert: Question if USFWS Cal Lee is supportive?
- Yes, has been looking forward to this project

Kelly: Question if USFWS support is through partners funding?

- Yes.
- Robert: Question on amount of ask?
- \$35000 for this phase may be available without OWEB, but the ask may be smaller \$15000 Robert: Does the family have time to review the grant?
- Super responsive, yes they can review, but landowner agreement will need review by attorney, so that may take time.
- Robert: Have you met Tracey at IVSWCD?
- Not yet.
- Jake: Do all involved parties support RBP as the signatory?
- Chrysten confirms support.
- Denise confirms that Kevin supports RBP as the signatory.
- Kelly: Suggests landowner agreement should also be reviewed by an attorney on RBP's behalf.
- Denise is happy to provide a draft, but not going to construction yet. If we can't find a suitable irrigation alternative then the agreement is void and the dam will not be removed.
- Robert: WW's attorney to review?
- General agreement is No.
- Jake: Will this meet RBP deliverables for RLF grant?
- Yes, it would.

Kelly: May perform cultural resources review on behalf of tribe in future, so would like to recuse herself from vote.

#### Recusals based on current and potential project involvement: Chrysten Lambert, Kelly Coates. Motion: Move forward with RBP as lead entity for OWEB TA grant & project sponsor role for landowner agreement, pending legal review.

### Moved: Robert Coffan, Seconded: Gail Grogan-Perrotti, unanimous approval by voice vote.

Additional Updates:

- Meeting with RLF next week with Sam and Brian Barr
- APWC looking to survey Evans Creek dams
- Beeson-Robeson conversation with Alexis Brickner and applying to Blue Sky Fund
- Landowner outreach for Murphy Dam with Julie APWC
- Robert: Question on working with Kelly Sparks from LRWC?
  - Yes, they are working on estuary projects, she'll keep checking in with her

## 

- Sam explains RBP needs to be more strategic in our asks, need to have this on the agenda monthly to share.
- Coho business planning came out...
- Kelly explains Cow Creek is not applying for spring OWEB, but in fall for bridge on Elk Creek in Umpqua (floodplain reconnection project)
- Gail wonders if there a better mechanism? Who is this for? What's in it for you? Uncomfortable with having this conversation at a board meeting and wonders if there is a better way.
- Thera explains she has been exploring ways to move towards sharing information and packaging projects; welcomes ideas, suggestions, concerns for moving the program forward
- Jake explains Native Fish Society has a grant into Meyer Memorial Trust

## 

- Things we are still working on:
- Lobbying vs. advocacy
- Letters of support/sponsoring/sign-ons
- Process for disseminating info

- Bylaws update

 Quarterly staff plan update
 Managing Director review questions going out tomorrow, Kelly is hoping to have responses in a week